▶ on the state of the state	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	09/091,704	Franke
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examiner	Group Art Unit
	Betelhen She	wareged 1774
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Betelhem Shewareged	(3) John	
(1) <u>Betelhem Shewareged</u> (2) <u>William Krynski</u>	(4)	
Date of Interview DI 11/2001		
Type: ☐Telephonic ★Personal (copy is given to ag	plicant applicant	s representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:	. If yes, brief descripti	on:
Agreement was reached. was not reached.		
Claim(s) discussed: All		
Identification of prior art discussed: Olsen (5,916,39)	9)	
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Suggested to add the limitation of claim 12 in claim 1 to over come the 102(b) rejection.		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendment the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	of the amendents whic	h would render the claims allowable
1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate		
Unless'the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already be INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTA	SUBSTANCE OF TH en filed, APPLICANT I	E INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section S GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS
2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (inclueach of the objections, rejections and requirements the claims are now allowable, this completed form is consoftice action. Applicant is not relieved from providing is also checked.	at may be present in t sidered to fulfill the res	he last Office action, and since the ponse requirements of the last
Evaminer Note: Voluminet sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachme	at to a cigned Office action	$\sum_{i=1}^{n}$